M

	•	
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING A	AUTHORITY DE CE LE	
To: MARLYN J. MAUE INTERNATIONAL SPECIALTY PRODUCTS LEGAL DEPT., BLDG. 10	PCT 0CT 2 7 200	
1361 ALPS ROAD WAYNE, NJ 07470	WRITTEN OPINION PATENT CE	
	(PCT Rule 66)	
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 25 OCT 2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	REPLY DUE	
FDN-2726	within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing	
International application No. Internation	al filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/37552 24 Novem International Patent Classification (IPC) or both nation	ber 2003 (24.11.2003) 27 November 2002 (27.11.2002)	
IPC(7): A61K 7/11, 31/74 and US Cl.: 424/70.1, 70 Applicant	0.11, 78.08	
ISP INVESTMENTS INC.		
This written opinion is the first (first, etc.)	c,) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.	
2. This opinion contains indications relating		
I Basis of the opinion		
II Priority	-	
III Non-establishment of opinion	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity of invention		
V Reasoned statement under Rul citations and explanations supp	e 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
VI Certain documents cited		
VII Certain defects in the internati	onal application	
VIII Certain observations on the in	ternational application	
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply t	•	
When? See the time limit indicate this Authority to grant an	ed above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request extension. See rule 66.2(d).	
For the form and the lang	eply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. uage of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.	
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6		
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.		
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 27 March 2005 (27.03.2005)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authorized officer \	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents	1 2.40 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Laksimi/S Channavajjala	
	Telephone No. 703-308-1235	

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Form PCT/IPFA/408 (cover sheet)(Tuly 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

Intern	ational	applica	tion	No.
IIIICIII	ational	applica	HOI	110.

PCT/US03/37552

1.	Bası	as of the opinion	
1.	With	h regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-17, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of	
		the claims: pages 18 and 19 , as originally filed pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the drawings:	
	K	pages none , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of .	
		the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of	
2.	lang	th regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Autguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item see elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	1.
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.) the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examina 55.2 and/or 55.3).	
3.		th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writt has been furnished.	disclosure in the
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE	
5.		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been cobeyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	_
* this	Repla s opin	acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article nion as "originally filed."	14 are referred to in

International application No. PCT/US03/37552

WRITTEN OPINION

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we citations and explanations supporting such s			aventive step or industrial applicability;
1. STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims	4 and 7-10	YES
	Claims	1-3, 5 and 6	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	7-10	YES
	Claims	1-6	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims		NO
Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33 Dragner discloses polyvinyl alcohol graft copo (PVOH) and 65% to 88% vinyl or acrylic monomer, wh Suitable monomers that are grafted with PVOH are lister potassium persulfate, sodium persulfate, the claimed cate of preparing polymer, which reads on the instant process. Claim 4 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) Dragner does not specifically state a solution of However, Dragner teaches that the polymer is used as a polymer as an emulsion by using an appropriate solvent artisan. Claims 4, 7-10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 3 polyvinyl alcohol grafted with a water or alcohol soluble polyvinyl alcohol grafted with a water or alcohol soluble Claims 1-10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) Claims 1-10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) Claims 1-10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) Claims 1-10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4)	olymer bind nich is graf d in col.2, alysts, used s. According as being of of PVOH g protective such as was (3(2)-(3), but or dispers	der emulsion com ft co-polymerized which read on th d in the preparation ngly, Dragner dis obvious over US a traft copolymer ar colloid for preparater or alcohol etc oecause the prior a sible monomer for sible monomer.	prising 12 to 35% hydrolyzed polyvinyl alcohol with PVOH, thus in the claimed percentage rage instant claimed monomers. Example 1 disclose on of the polymer. Example describes the method scloses instant polymer. 5,354,803 to Dragner et al (Dragner). and instead teaches the preparation of polymer. ring emulsions (col. 1). Accordingly, using the example would have been within the scope of a skilled art does not teach or fairly suggest the use of r use in personal care product and solutions of
US 5,354,803 A (DRAGNER et al.) 11 October 1994 (1	1.10.1994), see col. 2, col.	3 and example 1.

International application No. PCT/US03/37552

	Sup	plemental	Box
--	-----	-----------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.